

L^∞ RESOLVENT BOUNDS FOR STEADY BOLTZMANN'S EQUATION

KEVIN ZUMBRUN

ABSTRACT. We derive lower bounds on the resolvent operator for the linearized steady Boltzmann equation over weighted L^∞ Banach spaces in velocity, comparable to those derived by Pogan&Zumbrun in an analogous weighted L^2 Hilbert space setting. These show in particular that the operator norm of the resolvent kernel is unbounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 < p \leq \infty$, resolving an apparent discrepancy in behavior between the two settings suggested by previous work.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we derive an L^∞ lower bound on the resolvent operator for the linearized steady Boltzmann equation, in the process resolving a discrepancy between results of [2] and [4]. Let $L_{r,\xi}^\infty$ denote the space of functions $h(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with finite norm $\|h\|_{L_{r,\xi}^\infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|)^r |h(\xi)|$. A key element in the study [2] of existence of invariant manifolds for the steady Boltzmann equation with hard sphere potential is the resolvent estimate [2, Thm. 11, (108)]

$$(1.1) \quad \|h\|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty}(x) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\beta|x-y|} \|g\|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty}(y) dy, \quad \beta > 0$$

for solutions of the linearized inhomogeneous equation with “microscopic” data,

$$(1.2) \quad \xi_1 \partial_x h - Lh = g, \quad g \in \text{Ker} L^\perp,$$

where $L := \bar{M}^{-1/2} \mathcal{L} \bar{M}^{1/2}$, with $\mathcal{L}$ the linearized collision operator about a reference Maxwellian $\bar{M}(\xi) = ce^{-|\xi-v|^2/d}$, and $h := (f - \bar{M})/\bar{M}^{1/2}$, with $f(x, \xi, t)$ the standard Boltzmann variable denoting density of particles of velocity $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at point $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in space and time [1, 2].¹

Estimate (1.1) may be expressed equivalently as a bound $|R(x, \cdot, \cdot)|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty \rightarrow L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \leq Ce^{-\beta|x|}$, $\beta > 0$ on the resolvent kernel $R(x, \xi, \xi_*)$ for (1.2), that is, the kernel of resolvent operator

$$\mathcal{R} = (\xi_1 \partial_x - L)^{-1}|_{\text{Ker} L^\perp}, \quad (\mathcal{R}g)(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R(x - y, \xi, \xi_*) g(y, \xi_*) d\xi_* dy.$$

(See [2] for a construction of R .) Either implies by standard convolution bounds uniform estimates

$$(1.3) \quad \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L_{2,\xi}^\infty)}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

Taking $q = \infty$ in (1.3), and noting [1, 3] that the associated bilinear collision operator $Q(h, h)$ of the full, nonlinear equation is bounded from $L_{3,\xi}^\infty \times L_{3,\xi}^\infty \rightarrow L_{2,\xi}^\infty$, yields $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)$ -contractivity of the map $h \rightarrow \mathcal{R}Q(h, h)$, giving the basis for the fixed-point iteration schemes defined in [2].

By comparison, in a nearby Hilbert-space setting,² it has been shown [4] that the operator norm of the resolvent kernel is unbounded in all $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, by explicit computation using self-adjointness of L to diagonalize by unitary transformation. This is in sharp contrast with (1.1), especially since finite-dimensional intuition would suggest that optimal bounds be found in coordinates for which L is unitarily diagonalizable. Here, we resolve this apparent paradox by (i) *establishing the following result contradicting* (1.3), hence (1.1), and (ii) *identifying a corresponding error in* [2].

Research of K.Z. was partially supported under NSF grant no. DMS-0300487.

¹ Here, $(\cdot)^\perp$ denotes orthogonal complement with respect to the usual, unweighted space $L^2 \supset L_{2,\xi}^\infty$ in ξ .

² Precisely, the L^2 norm in ξ , after the rescaling $h \rightarrow \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} h$, $g \rightarrow \langle \xi \rangle^{-1/2} g$, where $\langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$; see [3, 4].

Proposition 1.1. *The solution operator for (1.2) does not satisfy $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L_{2,\xi}^\infty)}$ for any $q < \infty$, $C = C(q)$. Likewise, $|R(x, \cdot, \cdot)|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty \rightarrow L_{3,\xi}^\infty}$ is unbounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p > 1$.*

Proof. Recall [1] that the linearized collision operator L appearing in (1.2) may be decomposed as $L = -\nu(\xi) + K$, where $\nu(\xi) \sim \langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and $(Kh)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(\xi, \xi_*) h(\xi_*) d\xi_*$, with kernel $|k(\xi, \xi_*)| \leq C|\xi - \xi_*|^{-1} e^{-c|\xi - \xi_*|^2}$. By $\| |\xi|^{-1} e^{-c|\xi|^2} \|_{L^1} < \infty$ and standard convolution bounds, K is bounded on $L^\infty(\xi)$. Similarly, using the inequality $\langle \xi \rangle / \langle \xi - \xi_* \rangle \leq C\langle \xi_* \rangle$ and $\| |\xi|^{r-1} e^{-c|\xi|^2} \|_{L^1} < \infty$, we obtain the standard result $|K|_{L_{r,\xi}^\infty} < +\infty$ [1, 2]. Recall, further, that $\text{Ker} L$ is finite-dimensional, tangent to the 5-dimensional manifold of Maxwellians [1]. Defining $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_1 \partial_x + \nu(\xi))^{-1}$, we have the explicit solution formula $(\mathcal{S}g)(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_\xi(x - y) g(y, \xi) dy$, with $S_\xi(\theta) = \xi_1^{-1} e^{-\nu(\xi) \xi_1^{-1} |\theta|}$ for $\theta \xi_1 > 0$, 0 otherwise. Computing $\|S_\xi(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 1/\nu(\xi) \leq C/\langle \xi \rangle$, we have by standard convolution bounds $|\mathcal{S}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{2,\xi}^\infty) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)} < +\infty$.

Writing (1.2) as $(\xi_1 \partial_x + \nu(\xi))h = Kh + g$, applying $\mathcal{S}$, and rearranging, gives $\mathcal{S}g = h - \mathcal{S}Kh$, hence $|\mathcal{S}g|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)} \leq C|h|_{L^\infty(3, L_{r,\xi}^\infty)}$ by boundedness of $|K|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty}$, $|\mathcal{S}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)}$. Thus, (1.3) would imply a comparable bound $|\mathcal{S}g|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)} \leq C|g|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L_{2,\xi}^\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{S}$. This is easily seen to be false for $g \in L_{2,\xi}^\infty$, using test functions $g_\alpha(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} \alpha^{-1/q} e^{-\alpha^{-1}|x|}$, $\|g_\alpha\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L_{2,\xi}^\infty)} \equiv 1$, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, and computing $\mathcal{S}g_\alpha(0, (\alpha, 1, 0)) = (1 + \alpha^2)^{-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \alpha^{-1} e^{-(1+\alpha^2)^{1/2} \alpha^{-1} y} \alpha^{-1/q} e^{-\alpha^{-1} y} dy \sim \alpha^{-1/q} \rightarrow +\infty$. Using linear combinations of members g_{α_j} of the infinite-dimensional family $\{g_\alpha\}$, we may obtain a contradiction also for g ranging on any finite-codimension subspace, in particular on $\text{Ker} L^\perp$. $\square$

The argument of [2]. In [2], the authors study the steady Boltzmann equation by a time-regularization scheme based on detailed pointwise bounds on the time-evolutionary Green function $G(x, t, \xi, \xi_*)$, through the relation $R = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} G dt$. However, this analysis contains a key error. By [2, (67)]: $S_x b = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, t, \cdot, \xi_*) \xi_{1,*} b(\xi_*) d\xi_* dt$ and the symmetric [2, (68)], [2, (52)] is equivalent to $\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, t, \cdot, \xi_*) \xi_{1,*} b(\xi_*) d\xi_* dt\|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \leq C e^{-\beta|x|} \|b\|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty}$. In [2, (102)], this is used to estimate

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, t, \cdot, \xi_*) \tilde{b}(\xi_*) d\xi_* dt \right\|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \leq C e^{-\beta|x|} \|\tilde{b}\|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty},$$

in effect asserting $\|\xi_1^{-1} \tilde{b}\|_{L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \lesssim \|\tilde{b}\|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty}$, or $|\xi_1|^{-1} \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-1}$ —evidently false for ξ_1 small. But, (1.4) is the basis for (1.1), the resolvent estimate underlying the fixed-point constructions of [2].

Discussion and open problems. Proposition 1.1 shows that [2, Thm. 11] is incorrect, invalidating the conclusions of [2] on existence of invariant manifolds. Our proof of Proposition 1.1 depends on the property that the principle part $\mathcal{S}$ of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}$ is unbounded from $L^q(x, L_{2,\xi}^\infty) \rightarrow L^\infty(x, L_{3,\xi}^\infty)$ for $q < \infty$, which is much stronger than $|S(x, \cdot, \cdot)|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty \rightarrow L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \notin L^p$ for $1 = 1/p + 1/q$. Estimate $|S(x, \cdot, \cdot)|_{L_{2,\xi}^\infty \rightarrow L_{3,\xi}^\infty} \sim |x|^{-1}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ shows that the latter holds also at the boundary $p = 1$; we conjecture that it holds for R as well. This, and the determination of upper bounds (1.3), $q = \infty$ on $\mathcal{R}$ we regard as very interesting open problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Grad, *Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation. II*, 1963 Rarefied Gas Dynamics (Proc. 3rd Internat. Sympos., Palais de l'UNESCO, Paris, 1962), Vol. I pp. 26–59 Academic Press, New York.
- [2] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, *Invariant Manifolds for Steady Boltzmann Flows and Applications*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 209 (2013) 869–997.
- [3] G. Métivier and K. Zumbrun, *Existence and sharp localization in velocity of small-amplitude Boltzmann shocks*, Kinet. Relat. Models 2 (2009), no. 4, 667–705.
- [4] A. Pogan and K. Zumbrun, *Stable manifolds for a class of degenerate evolution equations and exponential decay of kinetic shocks*, preprint, 39 pp.; arXiv:1607.03028.